UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,937	10/13/2004	Hubert Thoma	H-32407A	6977
	7590 01/27/201 ¹ al Health US Inc.	0	EXAMINER	
	Avenue, Suite 300		LEVY, NEIL S	
Greensboro, NC 27408			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/506,937	THOMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NEIL LEVY	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/19</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration. /are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim24,-26,32-34, 37 -40,42-46,& 48-52 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PATEL et al and LILLEY ert al WO 01/35925 in view of ALFORD 3737825 or JANS et al 5824336

PATEL provides the coated instant particles, inclusive of coating with benzepril (page 7, line 14), AS ONE OF A DEFINITIVE NUMBER OF ACTIVES, ADMINISTERED TO AN ANIMAL (LINE 16, PAGE 6), over POWDERS, (p.51) of sugar or lactose or starch of 30-35 mesh size (page 51, line 29; page 52, top and Example 1). This is followed by an enteric polymer protective coating of acrylic/methacrylic (Eudragit;Example 6). The particular polymer can be chosen for dissolution at low, or, for sustained release, high, pH.Final dosage forms include pellets and tablets, but are not further described. The coating is of acrylic acid and methacrylic acid polymers and co-polymers. They can be prepared in organic solvents and dissolve at pH of the stomach acid (page 62, lines 11-19).

LILLEY also provides coated actives for animal oral delivery in feed, as very small (.15-.5 mm) particles (p. 7, lines 4-7, claim 4). Example 3 shows solution and coating of a bad tasting animal drug, followed by polymeric coating. Products are tablets (claim 3-6). ALFORD shows how the coated particles, as of the instant shown by PATEL, can be mixed with an animal feed substrate and pelleted (column 2, line 26) or tableted (line 65+) with dical, corn starch.

Art Unit: 1615

JANS also mixes actives with feed substrates to form tablets (column 3). The feed substrate Brewers yeast, mixed with actives and additives (column 2, lines 21, 22).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made desiring to utilize a protected feed additive to use one of PATEL and LILLEY modified with the acrylic type coatings of PATEL and with ALFORD or JANS additives in order to provide animal acceptance and include desired nutrients and drugs. The selection of each ingredient or administration method is a result effective parameter chosen to obtain the desired effects. It would be obvious to vary the nature of each ingredient to optimize the effects desired.

There is no unobvious and/or unexpected results obtained since the prior art is well aware of the use of amine compounds for enhancement and the use of ingredients for the functionality for which they are known to be used is not a basis for patentability. Applicant has not provided any objective evidence of criticality, nonobvious or unexpected results that the administration of the particular ingredients' or concentrations provides any greater or different level of prior art expectation as claimed. PATEL shows how to provide coated particles of actives for animal consumption.

Selection of the instant would be within the purview of the animal practitioner, given a limited number of actives are shown (see KSR V TELEFLEX @ 82 USPQ 2d @ 1385)

It would be obvious to formulate as pellet or tablet, as PATEL so directs. Inclusion of feed components in forming the tablet would be an obvious basis for improving attractiveness and palatability to insure animal compliance. Such examples of feed components with actives are shown by ALFORD and JANE. Given these guidelines, the formulator would know an active inclusive of benazepril could be prepared with expectation of animal ingestion.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/02/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states coating is benzapril alone, it is not. It is "consisting essentially" guise, and so can include other non-deleterious components (surfactants).

Neither is the sieve size unimportant-that is the standard size of PATEL's carrier (sugar) particles, and includes the instant size.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NEIL LEVY whose telephone number is 571-272-0619. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 7 AM to 5:30 PM EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT A. WAX can be reached on 571-272-0623. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/506,937 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NEIL LEVY/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615
1/21/2010